Project | Sustainability Impact Analysis for Intel



INTRODUCTION: As you learned listening in on the strategy meeting with Dr. Alvarez and Intel's Sustainability Team, Intel is committed to reducing its carbon footprint and improving the sustainability of its devices – not just during manufacturing, but throughout the entire lifecycle.

A key part of this effort is their repurposing programs, which play a central role in achieving these sustainability goals. Repurposing and recycling programs aim to reduce e-waste, energy consumption, and CO₂ emissions by extending the life of existing devices, and thus reducing the need for new device manufacturing. Like Michael Campbell said: the average household in the US has anywhere from 3–5 PCs devices, tablets, notebooks, desktops that are perfectly functional, but not being used!

One challenge Intel faces is determining which devices in its repurposing program should be prioritized for the maximum environmental benefit. That's where data analysis comes in! To help with this, Intel gathered data on each device repurposed or recycled in 2024.

Your task is to evaluate the effectiveness of Intel's current repurposing strategy and provide a data-driven recommendation to help guide the program's direction and optimize sustainability efforts.

HOW IT WORKS: Follow the prompts in the questions below to investigate the data. Post your answers in the provided boxes: the **yellow boxes** for the queries you write and **blue boxes** for your text-based analysis. Once you're done, you'll submit your **completed** .pdf file to HQ for feedback from The Accelerator Team.

SQL App: Here's the link to our specialized SQL app, where you'll write your SQL queries and interact with the data.

NOTE: The dataset you are working with is designed for The Global Career Accelerator to reflect the key characteristics and structure of Intel's real data, while protecting their confidentiality and proprietary information. Be aware that any conclusions or results derived from this dataset should be viewed as hypothetical and for illustrative purposes only.

Data Set Descriptions

In this project you'll query 2 different datasets, intel.device_data and intel.impact_data, that you will join together for your analysis. Here you'll find the data dictionary for each dataset.

intel.device_data

- device_id: Unique identifier for each repurposed device
- device_type: Type of device, values are either "Laptop" or "Desktop"
- model_year: The year the device was manufactured (e.g., 2018, 2019, etc.)

intel.impact_data

- impact_id: Unique identifier for the repurposed device's impact record (e.g., "LP20NA141592")
- device_id: Unique identifier linking the impact record to a specific device in the intel.device_data table
- usage_purpose: The specific purpose for which the device is being repurposed, values are Education & Digital Literacy, Corporate & Enterprise, Government & Public Sector, Environmental Sustainability Programs, and Social Impact & Non-Profit
- power_consumption: Power consumption of the device in watts (W) when in use (e.g., 50W, 75W)
- energy_savings_yr: Estimated energy savings per device per year when repurposed compared to a new device, measured in kilowatt-hours (kWh)
- co2_saved_kg_yr: Estimated CO2 emissions saved per device per year from manufacturing a new device, measured in kilograms (kg).
- recycling_rate: The percentage of the device that is recyclable (e.g., 80%, 90%).
- region: The geographical region where the device was repurposed, values are
 "North America", "Europe", and "Asia"

- Task 1: Organizing and Understanding the Data

We'll start by **joining** the device data with the impact data, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of device types, model years, repurpose regions, and energy savings in one dataset.

A. Simply write a query that returns all of the columns from both tables, joining the two on the device_id column. Be sure to choose the appropriate join so that all relevant

data is included in your result. **Note:** your query will have more than 150,000 rows (the max display for SQLPad!)

(paste your query below \uparrow)

```
Paste your query here.
SELECT
  *
FROM
  intel.device_data d
  JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
```

B. To your joined dataset, add a new column called device_age calculated by subtracting the model_year from 2024. Paste your query below and double check that the values in your new column make sense. For example, a 2019 device should be 5 years old.

(paste your query below \(\bigcap \)

```
Paste your query here.

SELECT

*,

2024 - d.model_year AS device_age

FROM

intel.device_data d

JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
```

C. Order your joined data by model_year (oldest to newest). Do you notice more older (5+ years) or newer (under 5 years) devices being repurposed? What might that indicate?

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

I notice more newer than older devices being repurposed. This might hint at the fact that devices are being cycled out more quickly, this is possibly due to rapid technology advances or organizational refresh cycles, rather than being used until end-of-life.

- D. Bucketing the device_age will allow us to analyze trends and patterns in energy savings and CO2 reductions more effectively than using individual ages. Use a CASE WHEN clause to add one more column, called device_age_bucket, to your data, that is based on the device_age:
 - WHEN the device_age is less than or equal to 3, device_age_bucket should be "newer"
 - WHEN the device_age is greater than 3 but less than or equal to 6,
 device_age_bucket should be "mid-age"
 - WHEN the device_age is greater than 6, device_age_bucket should be "older"

HINT: Instead of using e.g. device_age <= 3, you need to reference the calculation directly: 2024 - d.model_year <= 3.

Double check that the values in your new column make sense! For example, a 2019 device should be characterized as "mid-age".

(paste your query below $\cite{}$)

```
Paste your query here.

SELECT

*,

2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,

CASE

WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'

WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3

AND 2024 - d.model_year <= 6 THEN 'mid-age'

ELSE 'older'

END AS device_age_bucket

FROM
```

```
intel.device_data d
JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
```

- Task 2: Key Insights

Now it's time to analyze the overall impact of Intel's repurposing program. You will use your final query from **Task 1** together with the **WITH** keyword for the remainder of this Project as you aggregate and analyze the data you've organized and prepped. For a refresher, rewatch " The **WITH** Keyword" in SkillBuilder 6.

A. What is the total number of devices Intel repurposed in 2024?

HINT: The dataset **is** representing all devices repurposed in 2024! You just need to COUNT all the rows in your joined data from Task 1!

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

150,000 or more, it stops running after so many rows.

B. Write a query that returns the total number of devices repurposed, the average age of repurposed devices in 2024, the average estimated energy savings (kWh) from repurposed devices per year, and the total CO₂ emissions saved (in tons) from repurposed devices.

Note: CO₂ emissions are typically measured in tons. Since CO₂_saved_kg_yr is measured in kg, divide the $SUM(CO_2_saved_kg_yr)$ by 1000 to report the total CO₂ emissions saved in tons.

(paste your query below 👇)

```
Paste your query here.
WITH device_impact AS (
    SELECT
    d.device_id,
```

```
d.device_type,
    d.model_year,
    2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,
    CASE
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3 AND 2024 -
d.model_year <= 6 THEN 'mid-age'</pre>
      ELSE 'older'
    END AS device_age_bucket,
    i.impact_id,
    i.usage_purpose,
    i.power_consumption,
    i.energy_savings_yr,
    i.co2_saved_kg_yr,
    i.recycling_rate,
    i.region
  FROM intel.device_data d
  JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
SELECT
  COUNT(*),
  AVG(device_age) AS avg_device_age,
  AVG(energy_savings_yr) AS avg_energy_savings_kwh,
  SUM(co2_saved_kg_yr) / 1000.0 AS total_co2_saved_tons
FROM device_impact
```

C. Now that you have calculated the average estimated energy savings (kWh) and CO₂ emissions saved (tons), use ChatGPT to help put these numbers into perspective.



Try this prompt: I found that each repurposed device saves approximately of XXX kWh of energy per year and Intel's repurposing program saved XXX tons of CO₂ emissions in one year. Help me understand the significance of these numbers. How would this compare to the energy consumption of a small city or the amount of CO₂ produced by cars? What is the environmental impact of these savings?

What comparisons did you find most impactful in terms of scale? Summarize how much energy and CO₂ emissions were saved and how it compares to something familiar, like powering households or reducing car emissions.

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

Repurposing devices at this scale not only cuts the need for new device manufacturing, but the total CO₂ savings are on par with removing thousands of cars from the road. This shows that large-scale repurposing is a highly effective sustainability strategy with real benefits for energy use and emissions reductions.

- Task 3: Identifying Trends & Maximizing Sustainability

By grouping our data in different ways, we can uncover patterns in energy savings and CO₂ reductions. These insights will help us determine which categories of devices contribute the most to sustainability efforts and where Intel should focus its repurposing strategy for maximum impact.

A. Write a query that returns the total number of devices, the average energy savings, and the average CO₂ emissions saved (in tons), grouped by device_type.

Note (again): You'll need to divide $AVG(CO_2_saved_kg_yr)$ by 1000 to report the average CO_2 emissions saved in tons.

(paste your query below 👇)

```
Paste your query here.

WITH device_impact AS (
SELECT
d.device_id,
d.device_type,
d.model_year,
2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,
CASE
```

```
WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'
  WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3 AND 2024 - d.model_year <= 6
THEN 'mid-age'
  ELSE'older'
 END AS device_age_bucket,
 i.impact_id,
 i.usage_purpose,
 i.power_consumption,
 i.energy_savings_yr,
 i.co2_saved_kg_yr,
 i.recycling_rate,
 i.region
FROM intel.device_data d
JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
SELECT
device_type,
COUNT(*) AS total_devices,
AVG(energy_savings_yr) AS avg_energy_savings_kwh,
AVG(co2_saved_kg_yr)/1000.0 AS avg_co2_saved_tons
FROM device_impact
GROUP BY device_type
```

B. Based on the results, which device type contributes the most to energy savings and CO₂ reduction? Why might that be the case?

Hint: Don't forget you can use ChatGPT as your Teammate to help think through your response!

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

Laptops, this is likely just because there are more repurposed Laptops than desktops. The numbers are very close between both device types anyway which is quite interesting.

C. Write a query that returns the total number of devices, the average energy savings, and the average CO₂ emissions saved (in tons), now grouped by device_age_bucket.

(paste your query below \(\bigcap \)

```
Paste your query here.
WITH device_impact AS (
  SELECT
    d.device_id,
    d.device_type,
    d.model_year,
    2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,
    CASE
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3 AND 2024 -
d.model_year <= 6 THEN 'mid-age'</pre>
      ELSE 'older'
    END AS device_age_bucket,
    i.impact_id,
    i.usage_purpose,
    i.power_consumption,
    i.energy_savings_yr,
    i.co2_saved_kg_yr,
    i.recycling_rate,
    i.region
  FROM intel.device_data d
  JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
)
SELECT
  device_age_bucket,
  COUNT(*) AS total_devices,
  AVG(energy_savings_yr) AS avg_energy_savings_kwh,
  AVG(co2_saved_kg_yr) / 1000.0 AS avg_co2_saved_tons
FROM device_impact
GROUP BY device_age_bucket
```

D. Based on the result of your query, what do you notice about the relationship between device age and the number of devices repurposed versus the average energy saved?

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

There are a lot less older devices being repurposed yet the older device repurposing saves the most energy by far. This is likely because older devices don't use as much power because they have worse specs compared to newer devices. This makes sense because the midage devices being repurposed also save much more energy than the newer device repurposing does.

E. Finally, write a query that returns the total number of devices, the average energy savings, and the average CO₂ emissions saved (in tons), now grouped by region.

(paste your query below 👇)

```
Paste your query here.
WITH device_impact AS (
  SELECT
    d.device_id,
    d.device_type,
    d.model_year,
    2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,
    CASE
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3 AND 2024 -
d.model_year <= 6 THEN 'mid-age'</pre>
      ELSE 'older'
    END AS device_age_bucket,
    i.impact_id,
    i.usage_purpose,
    i.power_consumption,
    i.energy_savings_yr,
```

```
i.co2_saved_kg_yr,
    i.recycling_rate,
    i.region
FROM intel.device_data d
    JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id = i.device_id
)

SELECT
    region,
    COUNT(*) AS total_devices,
    AVG(energy_savings_yr) AS avg_energy_savings_kwh,
    AVG(co2_saved_kg_yr) / 1000.0 AS avg_co2_saved_tons
FROM device_impact
GROUP BY region
```

F. How does the carbon intensity of electricity in each region impact the total CO₂ savings from repurposed devices? Are there regions where repurposing leads to significantly higher environmental benefits? Why might that be?

(write your **answer** below \

Write your answer here.

The carbon intensity of electricity in each region barely impacts the total CO2 savings; the number of devices in each region has more effect. Asia, despite having less repurposed devices than North America seems to save more CO2 and energy than North America. I'm not sure why this would be the case, perhaps it's because Asia is repurposing more older or mid-aged devices than North America.

- Task 4: Data-Driven Recommendations

Using the findings from this analysis, we need to summarize key takeaways and develop actionable recommendations for Intel. Remember: the goal is to refine Intel's repurposing strategy to maximize energy savings and CO₂ reductions while ensuring the most effective use of resources.

A. Based on your analysis of the repurposed devices (including energy savings, CO₂ emissions, and device age), write **four** key takeaways in succinct sentences/bullets that summarize the most important patterns and insights from the data. These should be specific, concise, and focused on the implications of repurposing newer versus older devices.

(write your **answer** below \(\bigchap \)



Write your answer here.

Newer devices make up the majority of repurposed devices, but older devices contribute more to energy and CO₂ savings per device. Laptops (or desktops, depending on your data) provide the largest average energy savings and CO2 reductions.

Regions with older devices offer the highest CO₂ and energy reduction potential from repurposing.

Focusing on both device age and region maximizes overall environmental impact.

B. Based on your four key takeaways and ChatGPT as your teammate, write a recommendation for Intel on how to improve the repurposing program. Your recommendation should include a clear action or strategy for Intel based on the data and a data-driven justification for why this approach would maximize energy savings and CO₂ reductions.

(write your **answer** below \(\bigcap \)



Write your answer here.

Based on these insights, Intel should prioritize repurposing older devices, especially in regions where devices tend to be older and electricity is more carbon intensive. Focusing on these will maximize both energy savings and CO₂ reductions per device. Additionally, since certain device types provide the largest average savings, the program should target these device types in high-impact regions. This will ensure that Intel's repurposing resources deliver the highest possible environmental benefit by aligning efforts with where the data shows the greatest potential impact.

C. Briefly reflect on how ChatGPT's suggestions influenced your recommendation. Did it help you see something you hadn't considered? What parts of your recommendation were improved based on its response?

(write your **answer** below \P)

Write your answer here.

ChatGPT reminded me of the fact that certain device types can provide a lot more savings and related that to the region issue which improved my recommendation by adding that idea.

LevelUp: Optimizing Repurposing Strategy for Maximum Impact

Now that you've gained insights into the energy savings and CO₂ reductions across different device types and regions, let's use this data to optimize Intel's repurposing strategy for maximum environmental benefit.

A. Add to your final query of Task 3 that returns the total number of devices, the average energy savings, and the average CO₂ emissions saved (in tons), grouped by region, **the percentage** of the total energy savings and CO₂ reductions contributed by each device type within each region.

HINT: To calculate the percentage of the total energy savings, use this formula: Total energy savings for the device type / Total energy savings for the region) * 100 You'll use a similar one for the percentage of the total CO₂ reductions.



Try this prompt: What's the best way to calculate the percentage of CO₂ reductions contributed by each device type in each region?

(paste your query below 👇)

Paste your query here. WITH device_impact AS (

```
SELECT
    d.device_id,
    d.device_type,
    d.model_year,
    2024 - d.model_year AS device_age,
    CASE
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year <= 3 THEN 'newer'
      WHEN 2024 - d.model_year > 3
      AND 2024 - d.model_year <= 6 THEN 'mid-age'
      ELSE 'older'
    END AS device_age_bucket,
    i.energy_savings_yr,
    i.co2_saved_kg_yr,
    i.region
  FROM
    intel.device_data d
    JOIN intel.impact_data i ON d.device_id =
i.device_id
),
device_type_region AS (
  SELECT
    region,
    device_type,
    COUNT(*) AS device_count,
    SUM(energy_savings_yr) AS total_energy_savings,
    SUM(co2_saved_kg_yr) AS total_co2_saved_kg
  FROM
    device_impact
  GROUP BY
    region,
    device_type
),
region_totals AS (
  SELECT
    region,
    SUM(total_energy_savings) AS region_total_energy,
    SUM(total_co2_saved_kg) AS region_total_co2
```

```
FROM
    device_type_region
 GROUP BY
    region
SELECT
 dtr.region,
 dtr.device_type,
 dtr.device_count,
 dtr.total_energy_savings,
 dtr.total_co2_saved_kg / 1000.0 AS
total_co2_saved_tons,
    dtr.total_energy_savings / rt.region_total_energy
  ) * 100 AS pct_of_region_energy_savings,
  (dtr.total_co2_saved_kg / rt.region_total_co2) * 100
AS pct_of_region_co2_savings
FROM
 device_type_region dtr
 JOIN region_totals rt ON dtr.region = rt.region
ORDER BY
 dtr.region,
 pct_of_region_energy_savings DESC
```

- **B.** Based on the results of your query, analyze the data to answer:
 - Which device types in which regions contribute the most energy savings and CO₂ reductions relative to their numbers?
 - How can this analysis help Intel prioritize specific device types in certain regions to maximize environmental benefits?

(write your **answer** below \(\bigcap \)

Write your answer here.

Desktops contribute to more energy savings and CO2 reductions in all regions relative to the number of desktops compared to Laptops. This analysis can show Intel that they could identify regions where targeted desktop repurposing could drive high-impact sustainability gains.

C. In addition to focusing on sustainability, imagine Intel needs to optimize for cost-effectiveness in their repurposing program. How might you adjust your query to incorporate cost data (e.g., cost per repurposed device)? What strategies could Intel use to balance sustainability goals with cost constraints?

(write your **answer** below \(\bigcup_{\circ} \)



Write your answer here.

The query could be modified by adjusting it to have these changes to show the cost data more clearly:

AVG(total_energy_savings / cost_per_device) AS energy_saved_per_dollar,

AVG(total_co2_saved_kg/cost_per_device) AS

co2_saved_per_dollar

Intel should allocate resources first to the device types and regions with the highest energy and CO₂ savings per dollar spent. This means continuing to prioritize high-volume laptop repurposing, especially where cost per device is low, but also selectively targeting desktops in regions where their impact per dollar is greatest.